UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,433	01/10/2006	Egbert Classen	2003P00991WOUS	8866
	7590 06/01/200 PPLIANCES CORPOR	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			PATEL, RITA RAMESH	
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,433	CLASSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	RITA R. PATEL	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO¯ v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an ex	৻planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (In the statement of the statemen	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Rita R. Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792		

Continuation of 13. Other: the claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki, as indicated in the Final Rejection dated 3/25/09. Suzuki teaches a dishwasher with a drying "circuit to act in heat exchange during circulation of the drying air for the purpose of dehumidifying the drying air and increasing drying efficiency", as stated in Applicant's remarks, pg. 11 dated 5/20/09. This drying circuit of Suzuki reads on claims for a "heat tube" since air is heated and dehumidified for the purposes of increasing drying efficiency. In Applicant's response, Applicant merely argues that the prior art Suzuki "lacks any such heat tube", however does not go into details regarding why the drying circuit of Suzuki may not read on Applicant's claims. Applicant simply recites the claimed invention, but fails to point out specific differences in the claimed language versus the prior art Suzuki's drying circuit which may provide arguments as to why these may be distinct inventions. The drying circuit of Suzuki reads on the claimed subject matter, although it is called a "drying circuit" and not a "heat tube" as claimed in the present invention, it still reads on Applicant's claims since it can perform the claimed functions. In Suzuki the cooling/condensing and heating functions are performed sequentially in order to remove moisture from the air, then reheat it and deliver it back to the dishwasher; this reads on Applicant's claims wherein the heating and cooling of the air is passed through the conduit system at the same time.